

CENTER FOR TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH

Unified Transportation Program (UTP) serves as TxDOT's 10-year plan authorizing the distribution of construction funds for transportation projects over the next 10 years. This study developed a model to help estimate and plan preliminary engineering (PE) costs of the UTP by using its funding categories and their associated construction funding amount. The study analyzed the PE cost ratios to the UTP construction funding amount (%PE) of over 4500 construction projects. The obtained average %PE of each UTP funding category was applied to the 2022 UTP construction funding amount to estimate the PE cost for 2022 UTP. For easier implementation for TxDOT, an Excel-based tool was developed which calculates PE cost estimates given construction funding amount by the 12 UTP funding categories.

BACKGROUND



STUDY NEEDS

- Understand the trend of PE cost expenditures across UTP funding categories.
- Provide a high-level estimation method to help plan engineering needs for various UTP funding scenarios.

TXDOT'S UNIFIED TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (UTP)

- 10-year funding plan updated annually.
- Organized by 12 funding categories.

FUNDING CATEGORY . Preventive Maintenance and Rehabilit 2. Metro and Urban Area Corridor Projects 3. Non-Traditionally Funded Transportation 4. Statewide Connectivity Corridor Project 5. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality In 6. Structures Replacement and Rehabilit 7. Metropolitan Mobility and Rehabilitati 8. Safety Projects . Transportation Alternatives 10. Supplemental Transportation Project 11. District Discretionary 12. Strategic Priority

Total UTP Funding: C

METHODOLOGY



Statewide Preliminary Engineering (PE) Cost Estimates by Unified Transportation Program (UTP) Funding Categories Junghye Son, Ph.D., P.E and Nabeel Khwaja, P.E., Center for Transportation Research

	FU	2022 UTP NDING DISTRIBUTION
ition	\$	13,926,300,000
6	\$	10,012,237,582
n Projects	\$	5,772,892,508
S	\$	10,012,237,583
nprovement	\$	2,322,790,000
ation (Bridge)	\$	3,586,560,000
n	\$	5,038,158,388
	\$	3,431,750,000
	\$	910,500,000
6	\$	624,036,355
	\$	3,233,380,000
	\$	15,556,223,482
Categories 1–12	\$	74,427,065,898

DATA DESCRIPTION

- Low-bid design-bid-build projects let FY 2015 FY 2021 (Sep. 2015 Aug. 2021). • More than 4700 projects (CCSJs) consisting of over 16000 control-section-jobs (CSJs). • Total UTP funding amount for construction: approx. \$33 billion.
- %PE: PE cost ratio to construction cost (original contract cost).

ANALYSIS ASSUMPTIONS

Item	
DONATED PS&E	 Local engineering particip Assume the level of locally
PROJECT TYPE	 State-owned rail projects v Joint-bid utility projects (R
PROJECT SIZE	 Includes small projects & s
CHANGE ORDERS	 Not considered.

PE COST ESTIMATE BY UTP FUNDING CATEGORY FOR 2022 UTP

			Analysis (FY16-FY21)				PE Cost Forecast (2022 UTP)			
UTP Funding Category		UTP CST AMT		PE Cost	%PF	UTP CST AMT		PE Cost	% DE	
		(\$)	(%)	(\$)	701 L	(\$)	(%)	(\$)	70 F L	
1	PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION	\$9.2B	27.8%	\$373M	4.1%	\$13.9B	18.7%	\$564M	4.1%	
2	METRO AND URBAN AREA CORRIDORS	\$5.5B	16.6%	\$449M	8.2%	\$10.0B	13.5%	\$820M	8.2%	
3	NON-TRADITIONALLY FUNDED PROJECTS	\$1.0B	3.1%	\$80M	7.8%	\$5.8B	7.8%	\$450M	7.8%	
4	STATEWIDE CONNECTIVITY CORRIDORS	\$4.6B	14.0%	\$304M	6.5%	\$10.0B	13.5%	\$655M	6.5%	
5	CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY	\$0.4B	1.1%	\$36M	9.9%	\$2.3B	3.1%	\$231M	9.9%	
6	STRUCTURES REPLACEMENT AND REHABILITATION (BRIDGES)	\$1.6B	4.8%	\$185M	11.8%	\$3.6B	4.8%	\$423M	11.8%	
7	METROPOLITAN MOBILITY AND REHABILITATION	\$1.1B	3.3%	\$107M	9.8%	\$5.0B	6.8%	\$493M	9.8%	
8	SAFETY PROJECTS	\$2.2B	6.7%	\$154M	7.0%	\$3.4B	4.6%	\$240M	7.0%	
9	TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES	\$0.2B	0.6%	\$12M	5.9%	\$0.9B	1.2%	\$54M	5.9%	
10	SUPPLEMENTAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS	\$0.6B	1.9%	\$60M	9.8%	\$0.6B	0.8%	\$61M	9.8%	
11	DISTRICT DISCRETIONARY	\$3.2B	9.7%	\$164M	5.1%	\$3.2B	4.3%	\$165M	5.1%	
12	STRATEGIC PRIORITY	\$3.2B	9.6%	\$219M	6.9%	\$15.6B	20.9%	\$1.1B	6.9%	
	Total	\$33B	100.0%	\$2.1B	6.5%	\$74.4B	100.0%	\$5.2B	7.0%	

* UTP CST AMT: UTP construction funding amount

* B: billions; M: millions

ANALAYSIS

Assumptions

- pation projects with less PE costs not excluded.
- y donated engineering remains the same in the future. were excluded.
- ROW) were included when applicable.
- small PE cost projects.

PE COST TRENDS

- around \$6 billion since FY 2018.
- %PE has increased last three years.



The study provides

The analysis can be updated regularly incorporating the most current PE cost and UTP data.

LIMITATIONS

Contact Junghye Son at junghye.son@austin.utexas.edu or Nabeel Khwaja at khwaja@mail.utexas.edu for more information.



TREND ANALYSIS

Total UTP funding amount for construction remain

- Total UTP Construction Amount and PE Cost by Project Let Year 7.7% 8% 3% H 2019 2020 2021 2018 LET YEAR (FY) UTP CST AMT (\$) PE Cost (\$) %PE
- Category 6 (bridge replacement and rehabilitation) has the highest %PE.
- Category 1 (preventive maintenance and rehabilitation) has the lowest %PE.



CONCLUSIONS

• A high-level PE cost estimation method by UTP funding category.

• An Excel-based tool for TxDOT's use.

• A trend analysis of the past PE costs.

• Different data timespan: Analyzed 5-year data to forecast the 10-year plan.

• Let-year-based approach: May not be aligned with the actual timing of PE cost expenditures.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

TxDOT Project Champion: Brian Barth; TxDOT Project Manager: Darrin Jensen, Moses Garcia

The authors would like to thank the Texas Department of Transportation for providing support in data collection and analysis.

collaborate. innovate. educate.